Recording (27)

(0:00 - 1:40)
It's 2.29, first attempt 25, and we're going into the meeting again because the timer seems to be wrong. I say 2.30, she said 2.15, then fell down and said 1.30. We need to have a time-lapse and things like that. I don't know, I seem to have it.

(1:43 - 1:52)
I've got access to a call. I'm supposed to, but I'm inside a level. I don't know if it's on or off.

(2:12 - 2:48)
Hmm. Hmm. Hmm.

(2:48 - 3:27)
Hmm. Hmm. Hmm.

(3:36 - 3:45)
Hmm. Hmm. Hmm.

(3:45 - 8:43)
There's going to be a telephone call to check in. No one has responded to your request, please try again. Hmm.

(8:43 - 9:04)
Hmm. Hmm. Hmm.

(9:06 - 9:15)
So, Andrew is the one who will be doing the trial, but I'm just helping them to prepare for the trial. Yeah. That's perfect.

(9:17 - 9:28)
I think you did meet with Andrew when you were at court, was it? Was Andrew there? No, I've not met anybody. I've just met one lady. I've never met anybody.

(9:28 - 10:05)
The first time that I was arrested, I was brought to the court, and when I was brought to the court in the sector secure, I was brought up, someone come downstairs, and he said he was acting for this hold. He quickly made me sign some bit of paper, he wouldn't even let me speak, and then he disappeared, and then there was no one in the court representing me. They granted me bail on my own, but what they said is that the CPS paperwork wasn't then, there was no CPS paperwork, so they were quite shocked for this case to have gone ahead, but that was for obvious reasons, because they swapped the charge, and I was never arrested, I've never been arrested for this charge, I've never been interviewed.

(10:05 - 11:00)
You yourselves come to the police station, I've been nicked for harassment, the statement, a girl's done a statement which she supplied a video for, which was for January, now that statement, once I'd been interviewed with your solicitor firm, they've left, and I was supposed to, I said, the police officer's come out, he's dropped the harassment charge, and

then he's just charged me with another charge, like I'm for threats, and I wasn't arrested, interviewed, I asked if a USOC can be called, they refused for a USOC to be called, and everything, and then I was taken in a secure van, I was brought to the police station, to the courts, and the courts didn't even have no paperwork there, there was no solicitor in the court, and the CPS would have had the paperwork had they reviewed the case and agreed for that second charge to be submittable for court. So, like I've not been interviewed, I've not been anything for this charge. So unfair.

(11:01 - 11:22)
But what we see from this is, you were interviewed on the 3rd of August, and you provided a prepared statement. For harassment. Which said, so you were arrested for a sexual, a public disorder.

(11:22 - 12:00)
No I wasn't, no I wasn't, madam, honestly, I was arrested for harassment, 1997, I promise you that, and your solicitor firm, in the interview room, made me do a prepared statement, which I was well happy with him doing, I took his legal advice, and that statement read, oh, we was only talking about harassment, in the interview tape, the police officers tried to question me about other things, and even the solicitor said he's not been arrested for these things, and you're not allowed to talk to him for as long as you want. So the prepared statement says, I did not use any threatening words towards my neighbour, I did not threaten to blow up her car, and I did not cause her any harassment, alarm or distress. Right? Yes, that's possible, yes.

(12:00 - 12:21)
And there's things that erode, there's things that erode the car. Yeah, so that's what this trial is for, is for the, making threats to blow up her car. No, no madam, no, I was, when I was first on the site, and the lead in my house, the police come in, and the only charge said to me was for harassment 1997.

(12:21 - 12:55)
I was brought to the police station, and when I was speaking to the solicitor, he said to me, what I'm being accused of is being verbally aggressive in January, yeah, in January of this year, and that they've supplied a video for that, for me being aggressive, which is the first incident which they need for harassment. He said the second element is the second of the eight, which is the newest incident, supposedly. And then he said to me to do a prepared statement about the harassment, and about the alarm harassment I'm supposed to have called on the 1st of January, and for this.

(12:55 - 13:11)
So we've done that, and we talked about it. Now if we even look at her statement, this alleged victim's statement, who's made it up, she lied in the beginning of her statement. What she says is, oh look, I have, I've threatened to do these different things, and say these things, I promise that never happened, yeah.

(13:11 - 13:38)
The reason that she, I can explain why she's said this before, but she's done stuff to me, and I've recorded them, put that in my website. So in the video that you have, I've transcribed it

now, and what I say to her, as upset as I was, because she's recorded half the video, she's told me, I've said to her, go to my website horrificcorruption.com, and you can see the videos of what you've been doing to me illegally, and me writing to the council, yeah. And because I've transcribed it up, yeah.

(13:39 - 13:53)
And now, she says to me, go away, go away. So I walk away, I listen to what she's saying, but I'm still very upset in our argument. So that's why the citizen said to me to explain about me being further aggressive, I said I wasn't further aggressive, and I wasn't this, and it was for harassment.

(13:54 - 14:11)
Even the police, even in the CPS case paperwork, it says I was arrested for harassment. But then, they sent that paperwork to the CPS, and the CPS said there's not enough evidence to charge, because the videotape doesn't prove, the videotape proves she's lying. Yeah, I was going to say, the video is quite irrelevant.

(14:12 - 14:27)
It doesn't prove anything, it doesn't show anything. Yeah, but this ain't an harassment case, and it shouldn't be admissible, because it's something to do with the First Attorney, it's putting me in a bad light for something that's been no further action. But the video, the video is what's being irrelevant, it doesn't show anything.

(14:27 - 16:12)
No, but, I understand that, but as a solicitor, or a bastard, or a legal, a legal, a legal officer, you should understand that no one can go in, no jury should be allowed to be told all this stuff about me, if they wanted to even get my convictions in, they have to put an application, yeah, but she can't talk about stuff about me from the last year, or stuff that I've already proved, I was found not guilty for that at the police station, so why is she allowed to have all of this stuff that I've not been found guilty about at the beginning of her statement, because it was made for an harassment case, and now it's admissible, because it makes me, they're talking about something completely different that I've already won, and she's lying. What is she referring to? She's referring to the videotape, it's from the 1st of January, yeah, now the latest incident is from the 8th, so now, the initial charge of harassment means two incidents, so yeah, the 1st was allowed into it from January, and so was the 8th, but the police, no further action that at the police station, now they've used the same statement, and in that same statement she talks about the 1st that has already been no further action, that's inadmissible in court, she can't put me in a bad light for something that they've already said that there's no evidence towards, she's only allowed to talk about today, this incident, which is the 8th, in her statement, the first three quarters of it's all to do with the 1st, because it was made for harassment, then the bottom half of it's to do with this incident, her statement is inadmissible, as well as the police officers who forwarded their statements and made up that this happened on the 1st, and that they'd done their statements on the 2nd, if that makes sense madam. I get what you're saying, but, I wouldn't say that her statement's inadmissible, because she's not saying that you have been convicted of an offence and done X, Y, Z. No, she's lying about me to do with saying that I'm not being prosecuted or charged for having an offence, I've had a fair process.

(16:13 - 16:33)
But, obviously, your statement, her whole statement talks about things, about what you have allegedly done, obviously in your view, you haven't- I've been found not guilty for that. No, no, no, I'm not, you haven't been found- Yes, I was found not guilty for harassment, I was found not guilty for the 1st of January at the police station. Yeah, no further action, yeah.

(16:33 - 16:45)
Yes, that's what being found not guilty means. Yeah, that means that there was no evidence, so, I'm sorry madam, I understand fully what you're saying. Being in an NSA simply means that there is not enough evidence for them to charge you.

(16:45 - 17:01)
Madam, can I ask- Wait, wait, don't bother, let me finish. It doesn't mean that you are guilty, it doesn't mean that you're not guilty of offence, it just means that the police don't have enough evidence to charge you. Okay, I understand what you're saying there fully.

(17:01 - 17:12)
Wait, wait, hold on, hold on. So, she is allowed to say in her statement that this and that happened. It's essentially, it's your word- No, no, madam, I understand madam.

(17:12 - 17:23)
She's not saying in her statement that, oh, he did this to me and we went to court, he was found guilty. That's not what she's saying. She said that she's not allowed to say that, but that's not what she's saying.

(17:23 - 18:10)
No, madam, what she's saying is- He did this to me in January and he also did this to me in August, that's what she's saying. Yeah, but what that statement is saying is that for a case that she already- I know that you've got a client, for instance, madam, yeah? Because I fully appreciate what you're saying, I can understand the principle of the law by what you're saying, yeah? But you've got to look at it as if you're looking at it from a barrister's side, not from a solicitor's side, and how a barrister should see it in the court book. And what the law actually states, it states that she can't- You can't have someone that's been nicked for something in the past, yeah, for like for something called A, and then he's been nicked for something to do with B, and then just because that person knows something to do with A, the first case, and now she's got a case to do with B, she can't just mention the first case, A, because that becomes hearsay.

(18:11 - 18:18)
The case hasn't been proven, the case isn't about that. The beginning of her statement can't be based on that, in that sense. It is, it is.

(18:18 - 18:19)
It is. It is. It is.

(18:19 - 18:26)
It is. It is. I'll have a witness statement that's coming to court.

(18:26 - 18:35)
The only good evidence that there is, is her statement. The video, the witness doesn't show anything. The video doesn't show anything.

(18:36 - 18:50)
The video doesn't help her. It doesn't do anything. The only evidence that they have, that we have, is her statement, the video, and then the body was from when you were arrested.

(18:50 - 18:58)
That's all we have. I understand that. She can say in her statement, oh, he's done this to me, blah, blah, blah.

(18:58 - 19:19)
But she can't say, oh, he's done this to me, blah, blah, blah. What am I actually being, what am I actually being, what am I actually being, being, what am I actually being questioned about? Is that a car that's been blown up, or am I actually being questioned about something that's been, the police said that there's no evidence that they can talk about or even prosecute. The police can't prosecute me for it.

(19:19 - 19:39)
What the basis of the trial is, is about you saying about blowing up a car. So why are we talking, why is she allowed to talk, the three quarters of her first statement about something that the police have said they don't even have enough evidence to charge me with anyway, and you use her family not guilty for? No, you haven't been found guilty. She's tampering my reputation.

(19:39 - 19:52)
No, you haven't, you haven't. If you went to court and you were found not guilty, then okay, we can then say, actually you can't speak about that because he went to a court of law and was found not guilty in a court of law. Just because you've been NSA'd, it doesn't mean that you're not guilty.

(19:52 - 20:03)
Someone can be NSA'd for something today and tomorrow, the police can get more evidence and they can charge you for that offence. Just because you're found NSA'd. Yeah, I understand.

(20:04 - 20:20)
If further evidence comes to light, you can be re-questioned and re-interviewed, which is understandable. But this dating court is not about the first. This dating court is not about, and the first should not be allowed to be talked about in this court of law.

(20:20 - 20:39)
In this date, all that should be allowed to be talked about is this date, and I believe that because her statement tampers both of them, she's trying to paint me in a bad light for something that they already know that they shouldn't be doing. It should be thrown out. But I have to take your legal, because you're the legal person here, and I respect what you're saying, and I have to follow that.

(20:39 - 21:30)
I just feel that way enough, but maybe you might understand why I feel that way. What you're saying, but obviously, that is something that, so obviously, Andrew will be the one who's speaking for, and obviously, once I speak to you today, I'm going to say Andrew is, like, you know, saying things to be said to me, and I will say, you know, you're not very happy about what the first part of her statement is about, about her speaking about the... She's lying. Which Andrew can then, when Andrew's cross-examined her, so Andrew, obviously, she'll go for the stand, she'll say, blah, blah, blah, and then Andrew, the sister who will be doing the trial, will then go up to her and cross-examine her and be like, well, you know, are you sure this happened, or if this happened, what happened when it was deceased, was it because of an NSA person, that means that there wasn't enough evidence, et cetera, things like that.

(21:30 - 22:12)
Do you get what I'm trying to say? So Andrew's the one who's going to sort of grill her and be like, well, this didn't have to do it. I understand that, and I'm grateful that he'll do that for me, do you know? But honestly, I'm an innocent person, so I would like to put that forward to you, and I would like to bring it to the point, me being innocent, like an MO, a reason why someone would do this to me or her statue, I don't know this person, I've never met her yet, like in that sense, but I had a lot of issues with her over the last five years, and my other neighbours, and what we... But you know what he said about the video? Yeah, and you know what I'm saying? Obviously, I've seen a video, and you're saying something about a website. Yeah, this is the point.

(22:12 - 23:11)
My website's called horrificcorruption.com, and in that website, if you scroll down, there's a green web link, and if you click on that, you can see the cases that I've been fighting against, yeah? And you can see that my whole criminal record was folded inside of it, so my whole record is now being contended against, and it should be deleted, yeah? From 96 to today's date, every entry in my record is folded, yeah? By the reviewing teams from Highbury Court and the Metropolitan Police. So when I get brought to Highbury Court, I'm in between two reviewing teams that are grabbing me, and I can see them updating, like in my convictions, they have a TV, like a chat room where they talk about your bowel conditions, so the police will update your bowel conditions, and then the court will update, and you can see them setting me up, because they both know that I've got another case against the pair of them, and my whole record gets deleted, yeah? And that they're in a lot of trouble, but I'm just stuck in between. It wasn't me that brought the case, my mum worked out saying to be in my record and brought it to them.

(23:11 - 23:50)
And now I've just been trapped in this ever since, yeah? But see, like this lady now, her MO is, like Michael's reasoning, it's the video itself. In that video, I can seem a bit upset, yeah? But considering what's been done to me, me and my mum had to write to the council, because she kept attacking me with other neighbours, yeah? So in the video, you're upset, why were you upset? What happens is, in my flats, in my flats, I've been badly getting attacked for the last five years, and she's one of the people that's been victimising me. Now, she's tapping in her kitchen on the floors of me, and I'm sitting in my house being attacked.

(23:51 - 24:17)
Now, I've got hundreds of video recordings of her doing this to me, yeah? And I've got loads of witnesses happy to attend court that will prove what she's been doing to me. Now, my mum, rather than me go and knock on any of my neighbours' doors and get myself into any trouble, I... She taps on what though? She goes to the kitchen wall, she starts tapping like that on the kitchen wall, and that bangs through my kitchen and into my front room. So like, I can sit there and they start banging the pots on the walls at me.

(24:17 - 24:44)
Now, this can be proof because I've got loads of videos to prove it, yeah? But now, rather than me go and get myself into trouble, in 2014, I told my mother what was going on and showed her, and she wrote to Enfield Council for me. Now, she wrote to the Neighbourhood Watch Team, and I've got those emails now, and she was explaining to them. Over one year, she was writing to five different emails saying to them, My son's badly getting attacked by this Rebecca and the other lot.

(24:44 - 25:11)
Please, can you protect him? None of them would reply to my mother's emails, yeah? Now, eventually, they thought I'd done something wrong to one of the neighbours, so they've messaged my mum back on the same five emails. Now, I've got a diary that proves this, because I subject access requested all of their computers, so I've got all of their personal release notes, and I've put them in a diary for me. Now, you can see my mum sending the email for a year, then blank, and you can see them trying to call me to cancel off the same email, and my mum.

(25:12 - 25:37)
Then we can see that we proved that I was right, but now they're trapped, yeah? Because they've not answered all these emails. So they went to these people like Rebecca and that, and they kept asking them, have I done anything bad about me? I've got all those release notes. Now, the bloke called Lemmy from Enfield Council went back to the council and created a council history for me, a malicious council history, and now that is going through legal process right now, yeah? And I can prove what Lemmy's done to me.

(25:37 - 26:20)
Now, they brought me to court for two passing possession orders, two injunction orders, and I was trapped in a legal process for five years, where I never got to trial once, because only if they got me and my legal team to trial, we would prove the forgeries, yeah? So now they kept me stuck in a legal process forever. It's been so unfair, yeah? And now, at the end of it all, the judge knew that they was in a lot of trouble helping them in so many parts, and the judge said that I must be moved out of that flat and wrote a court order demanding for me to have a two-bedroom, life-for-life house. Onstead, Enfield Council never listened to the court order, which I've got still now in all the documentation, and they left me in the flat and left these attacking me.

(26:20 - 26:36)
Now, I sit in my house, I've got so many videos of them doing it to me, and I won't retaliate or do nothing wrong. The other day, we're all getting new front doors installed. Only council

tenants are allowed these new front doors, yeah? As you know, most blocks were allowed private.

(26:36 - 27:15)
She is supposed to be a private tenant. Somehow, she's got the new council front door, because she's subletting that flat illegally of somebody else, and the council know that this is going on, and let her stay in that subletted flat and let her attack me, yeah? And it's so unfair. I'm a secure tenant, and I'm supposed to be in my property, and I look after my property in my home, and she's using a subletted flat that's a council flat belonging to somebody else, and she's attacking me in it, and the council are allowing for that to continue, and they never listened to the court order that was granted, and they won't accept the paperwork that I've subject access to and put into a diary form, and that proves the truth, like what I just explained to you.

(27:15 - 27:25)
And now, because on the 1st of January, I was receiving a lot of banging, so I decided to go upstairs and stand up for myself. I'm polite. I had no shoes on.

(27:26 - 27:41)
I just had my nightie on, because I was relaxing in my own home, and I had no other intentions. I've gone upstairs, and I've noticed that she doesn't have her door frame in, but that didn't bother me. I've never been to her front door before, because she's got a child, I wouldn't ever go up there and risk myself.

(27:41 - 28:20)
But the bloke opposite her, this bloke called Richard, and Richard, he lives directly above me, and I believed he was banging at me, so I've gone upstairs, and I've knocked on his door, and there was no answer. Now, as I've turned around, she's got no door frame or front door, and the builder's doing work there as well, and then she's come out, and she started talking to me. Now, we had started with a normal conversation, but at some stage, it's turned a bit higher rate, where now I'm saying to her, you're out of order, what you're doing to me, and I admit I'm really upset and hurt because of what she's put me through over the last few years, yeah? So I'm like expressing, because no one else will help me or safeguard me, and my mum's done her best, we've got the evidence, but no one will listen.

(28:21 - 28:40)
And she's allowed to continue to do this. And of course, I've said to her in that recording, as much as I'm like, ah, she's going, get away, get away, I didn't realise she was recording me. So she started speaking to you, and then how did you end up in hiding? I've said to her, I've said to her, she goes to me, why are you at the door? I go, because I was knocking at Richard's door, and she goes, so she's come and started on me.

(28:40 - 28:50)
And now she's saying to me, I said to her, well, you do the same to me. Like, let's talk about that then, yeah? Which is like getting to the bottom of it, legal. And she said, oh, I can't be doing that to you.

(28:50 - 29:06)

How can I bang from my front door at you? Now, she's acting silly, like she can't go into her kitchen and bang on my adjoining kitchen, which she can do, which I've got pictures of it all here now on my website, and I can send them to you, and you'll be like, yeah, that's what she's doing. And I'll send you the videos. And now she's acting silly.

(29:07 - 29:14)
So now, because she's acting silly, it's made things get a bit hyper. I'm going to her, you know exactly what I'm on about. The kitchen, not the front door.

(29:14 - 29:23)
Why are you acting so silly? I'm talking about you attacking me in the kitchen. You can go to my website, Horrific Corruption. Now, she must have pulled the phone out, and she starts recording.

(29:23 - 29:27)
She goes, get away, get away. Now, I listen to her in that recording. I do back off.

(29:27 - 29:31)
But I'm just going, you can go to Horrific Corruption. I'm not having this. I'm going to get you nicked.

(29:31 - 29:37)
Now, she knows in her head she's in trouble. She's gone to my website over the last couple of months. Been watching that video, and listening to it.

(29:37 - 29:46)
And now she's going to set me up when I've gone out to go and get a Chinese. I'm sorry, I know it sounds like a lot. But that's what really happens.

(29:46 - 29:57)
So, when do you think you're going to get Horrific Corruption? Yeah, I've gone back downstairs that day. Now, nothing's happened since January till now. Now, she's submitted that video, but they've cut the video.

(29:58 - 29:59)
So now you can hear me.